

MENZIES, BEN

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Ben Menzies (*F,C)			
Round 1		B113		Sat 01/23/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st/2nd Samer Bahri		4	27	1st/2nd Nykail Packer	
1st/2nd Christopher Farrahkhan W Cordova High School		3	28	1st/2nd Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	
The winner of this debate was				Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative				McCLATCHY	
(Circle Winner)				School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____					

Comments & Reason for Decision

CONGRESS FAILS TO REDUCE RACISM, THE SUPREME COURT IS
MORE LIKELY TO SUCCEED.

IN: - I DON'T MIND WHETHER YOU SIT OR STAND BUT
YOU SHOULD NOT HAVE THE COMPUTER IN
BETWEEN YOU AND THE JUDGE.

• TRY TO MAKE CLEARER DISTINCTION BETWEEN
YOUR TAGS AND THE TEXT OF YOUR EVIDENCE.

• 2N SHOULD DO CX OF THE IAC AND
THE 1N ~~SHOULD~~ SHOULD DO CX OF THE 2AC.
THAT WAY, THE PERSON PREPARING TO SPEAK
DOESN'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT CX (ALTHOUGH
THEY CAN STILL JUMP IN IF THEY WANT).

1A: CONFIDENCE! I KNOW DEBATE
IS TOUGH, BUT PRACTICE READING
THE IAC WITH A LITTLE MORE
CONFIDENCE.

2A: YOU NEED TO READ THE TEXT OF
THE EVIDENCE NOT JUST SAY THE
AUTHOR.

~~NEG~~ AFF - TRY TO GET MORE EVIDENCE ON THIS
ARGUMENT ABOUT CONSCIOUSNESS RAISING -
HUEY NEWTON, MALCOLM X, OR SOME MODERN
TWITTER OR #BLACKLIVESMATTER ACTIVISTS.
ALSO IT SEEMS LIKE YOU WANT TO READ AN
AFF MORE ABOUT DIRECT SURVEILLANCE (LIKE
POLICE).

~~NEG~~ NEG - WHEN YOU READ A CP, BE
SURE TO ADJUST THE TEXT
TO WHAT THE AFF IS - I.E. THE
CENSUS.

FIAT MEANS YOU DON'T HAVE TO
DEFEND WHETHER SOMETHING WILL
HAPPEN, JUST ~~IF~~ WHETHER SHOULD.

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice				Debby Juarros (*N)			
Round 1				B112			
				Sat 01/23/16 08:00AM			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	4	25	1st 2nd	Aditya Prasad	2	25
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock I Rosemont High School	1	28	1st 2nd	Veta Pasha D Monterey Trail	3	25
The winner of this debate was				<p><i>Debby Juarros</i> Judge's Signature</p> <p>Antelope HS - 8th Grade Teacher School / Affiliation / Occupation</p>			
<p>Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/></p> <p>(Circle Winner)</p> <p>Is this a low point win? <u>yes</u></p> <p>Comments & Reason for Decision</p>							

Neither team truly won this debate - neg. wins as a default.

The US federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance.

Hector

- You spoke too fast - hard to understand - ran words together.
- rocked back and forth
- I said "no - spreading"

Rebecca

- much better pacing with voice - could understand you
- more explanation / thinking - limit surveillance to real threats
- a complete stop of surveillance would be ineffective / dangerous
- good use of evidence to counter the blackness issue - good logic

Lots of reading of cards and very little explanation of topic or position. All speakers should speak up!

Veta


- nice passion
- you stepped all over your words
- Not sure your constructive had anything ^{to do} with the topic.
- You did not link your race/blackness issues to the topic - ~~or~~ or explain it in any way - seemed you just went with that for effect. (a false lead)

Aditya

- probably shouldn't be on your phone
- you ran all your words together
- read a bunch of unrelated items with no explanation -

Reason: It seemed like the two teams had two different topics and neither one addressed the true topic. This was just a bunch of "evidence" being read w/o linking it to anything.

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Brandon Garcia (*W,F)			
Round 1		B111		Sat 01/23/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd Darius Jackson		<u>1</u>	<u>28.3</u>	1st 2nd Stanley Ou	
1st 2nd Esias Taplin D Monterey Trail		<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>	1st 2nd Bruce Tran R John F Kennedy	
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)					
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>				School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

look at the judge

4:00

The answer is that

I voted aff because there are still
 benefits to antiteling surveillance and there
 isn't much of a link to the DA.

~~FARIAS, STEVEN~~

Sacramento NCFL

Memor
CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Steven Farias	
Round 2		B112	
Sat 01/23/16 11:15AM			
Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd Stanley Ou	Affirmative Rank (1-4) 3 Points (25-30) 28.3	Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd Aditya Prasad	Negative Rank (1-4) 1 Points (25-30) 28.5
1st 2nd Bruce Tran R John F. Kennedy	4 28.3	1st 2nd Veta Pasha D Monterey Trail	2 28.4
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>X</u>		Judge's Signature <i>McClatchy</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

2NR FAILS TO ADVANCE A COHERENT STRATEGY. NEED TO FOCUS ON MAKING ARGUMENTS ATTACHED TO ACTUAL CONTENT RATHER THAN DEBATE JARGON AND GENERIC WILDERSON/SEXTON ARGS.

*Chris was on his phone during prep time - is this allowed? - also read from phone during speech

RICHARD, ROBERT

Juarros

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

*This was a poorly done and very hard to pick a winner

Policy Debate Novice				Robert Prichard (*T) Debby Juarros			
Round 2		B111		Sat 01/23/16 11:15AM			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Darius Jackson	2	25	1st 2nd	Samer Bahn	4	25
1st 2nd	Esias Taplin D Monterey Trail	1	25	1st 2nd	Christopher Farrahkhan W Cordova High School	3	25
The winner of this debate was				Debby Juarros Judge's Signature			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)				Antelope #5 8th grade teacher School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Esias: *if you wrote your case - nice job
 - make sure to stand or walk, but do not ~~pace~~ pace or rock
 - know what you are reading and be able to pronounce the words

Darius - ~~you need to have a plan of how you are going to present your speech in an organized way - in full sentences.~~
 • You need to organize your speech to counter each of your opponents points - one by one.


Chris:
 • you need to have a plan of how you are going to present your speech in an organized way - in full sentences.
 • your point that the police already overstep their boundaries did not help your case since Aff. plans on curtailing it.
 Samer - was on his phone - looking up items as well
 • you need an organized way to present your speech
 • you made some good points, but supported it with absolutely no evidence. Research is key!

Reasons / Decision

- Neither side was prepared to fully debate this issue and did not have an organized way to present the issues. Both sides did a pretty good job with ~~asking~~ asking and answering questions during Cross X, but was definitely "off the cuff" and not supported by their evidence. In fact, neither team referred back to any evidence during the debate. And if you are going to use the 4th Amendment and cite it repeatedly - then know it and apply it correctly. There is potential here, but it needs ~~more~~ a lot more practice. No one addressed topicality, significance, inherency, solvency or disadvantages.

• no definitions

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Banafshae Khan		Sat 01/23/16 11:15AM	
Round 2		B113			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd	Nykail Packer	2	28	1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez
1st 2nd	Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	1	29	1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative <u>Negative</u> (Circle Winner)				School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

200
100

200
220

You have to have the standard debate when it comes down to Topicality. The Negs standards went dropped by the Aff the whole debate. While I personally think you had better counter interps, it has to be on the Flow why they are better.

The case debate was good, still had much more to be developed if y'all

collapsed.

Lots of Potential!

WHITE-RASMUSSEN, TAIT

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice				Tait White-Rasmussen			
Round 3		B111		Sat 01/23/16 02:30PM			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Nykail Packer	<u>2</u>	<u>27.1</u>	1st 2nd	Darius Jackson	<u>3</u>	<u>27.0</u>
1st 2nd	Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	<u>1</u>	<u>27.3</u>	1st 2nd	Esias Taplin D Monterey Trail	<u>4</u>	<u>26.8</u>
<p>The winner of this debate was</p> <p><u>Affirmative</u> Negative</p> <p>(Circle Winner)</p> <p>Is this a low point win? _____</p>				<p>TAIT HAWK OREN WHITE-RASMUSSEN</p> <p>Judge's Signature</p> <p>School / Affiliation / Occupation</p>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:


DRONES!

~~PASHA, BEYA~~

Sacramento NCFL

Hickey

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Beya Pasha (*D)					
Round 3		B113					
		Sat 01/23/16 02:30PM					
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	2	26	1st 2nd	Stanley Ou	1	29
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School	3	27	1st 2nd	Bruce Tran R John F. Kennedy	4	25
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature Solano C.C. School / Affiliation / Occupation			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff.

- You guys had strong arguments with good refutation.
- Good and varied sources, but don't be afraid to speak for yourself.


Neg.

- You needed to focus more on attacking the plan
- The T needed more to it for it to go through
- Good catching on spotting the one fallacy, but doesn't stop the plan.

GUGINO, JACK

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N X


Policy Debate Novice		Jack Gugino (*F,L)	
Round 3		B112	
		Sat 01/23/16 02:30PM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Aditya Prasad	1	28.5
1st 2nd	Veta Pasha D Monterey Trail	2	28.8
Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Samer Bahri	3	28.1
1st 2nd	Christopher Farrahkhan W Cordova High School	4	28
The winner of this debate was		Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative			
(Circle Winner)		CK McClatchy	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

UMANA, VICTOR

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Victor Umana (*J)		Sat 01/23/16 05:45PM	
Round 4		B112			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd Samer Bahri		1	27.5	1st 2nd Stanley Ou	
1st 2nd Christopher Farrahkhan W Cordova High School		2	27	1st 2nd Bruce Tran R John F. Kennedy	
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				Mission San Jose / James Logan HS School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision

WISSMATH, ROBERT

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Robert Wissmath (*F)	
Round 4	B113	Sat 01/23/16 05:45PM	
Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd Aditya Prasad 1st 2nd Veta Pasha D Monterey Trail	Affirmative Rank (1-4) Points (25-30) _____ _____ The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____	Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd Nykail Packer 1st 2nd Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	Negative Rank (1-4) Points (25-30) _____ _____ Judge's Signature <i>No judge</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

FORFEIT

Veta Pasha

[Signature]

No judge

~~FARIAS, STEVEN~~

Delgado

Sacramento NCFL

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Steven Farias					
Round 4		B111		Sat 01/23/16 05:45PM			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	2	28.2	1st 2nd	Darius Jackson	2	28
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School	1	28.3	1st 2nd	Esias Taplin D Monterey Trail	4	27.9
The winner of this debate was				Judge's Signature			
<div><div>Affirmative</div><div>Negative</div></div> (Circle Winner)				CSUS / Alumni / Govt Clerk			
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>				School / Affiliation / Occupation			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg case is dropped
- There weren't any cards or offcase read on the Neg.
- I am left to vote Neg,

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Judge: <i>Joe Carpio</i>		Sun 01/24/16 09:30AM	
Finals		B106			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Nykail Packer
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail
The winner of this debate was				Judge's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> Affirmative Negative </div> <p style="text-align: center;">(Circle Winner)</p> <p>Is this a low point win? _____</p>				<i>OK McClintock</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Comments & Reason for Decision:					

7-Domestic

F - Lurell + base

1st + Prep during 2nd

split Bloch

syn Post

highlight

satisty


CX-N

Policy Debate Novice				Judge: <u>White-Rasmussen, Tait</u>			
Finals				B106			
Sun 01/24/16 09:30AM							
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Nykail Packer	_____	_____
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	_____	_____
<p style="text-align: center;">The winner of this debate was</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: center; align-items: center; gap: 20px;"> Affirmative Negative </div> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">(Circle Winner)</p> <p>Is this a low point win? _____</p>				<p style="text-align: center; font-family: cursive;">Tait Hawk Oren White-Rasmussen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judge's Signature</p> <p style="text-align: center;">School / Affiliation / Occupation</p>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I DON'T WANT TO BE NUKED SO I'LL LET THE FCC SENSOR ALL THE POOP JOKE
EMAILS I SEND TO MY ITALIAN FRIENDS

CX-N

Policy Debate Novice		Judge: <i>Larsen, E</i>	
Finals		B106	Sun 01/24/16 09:30AM
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Hector Hernandez	<u>3</u>	<u>27.5</u>
1st 2nd	Rebecca Peacock L Rosemont High School	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Nykail Packer	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
1st 2nd	Rashia Hemingway D Monterey Trail	<u>2</u>	<u>27.5</u>
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)		CVFL School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <u>X</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff loses on Solvency. Asks Neg to prove they can't solve, rather than proving their own case.

Also up in the air ... though in a he said/she said world I lean toward giving AFF the benefit of the doubt. In:O, their plan is vague. They should have a stronger plan text (but they weren't debating me).